## Ash Fork Joint Unified School District

Yavapai County Efficiency peer groups 6 and T-10, Achievement peer group 5


Efficiency peer groups 6 and T-10, Achievement peer group 5 Legislative district(s): 1

District size, location: Small, Rural Students attending: 226

Number of schools:

## **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

## Spending by operational area



## Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational	Managema	District	Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$2,327	\$1,647	\$806
Administration	Students per administrative positior	41	45	67
Plant Cost per square for		\$4.94	\$4.72	\$6.10
operations	Square footage per student	235	341	154
Food service	Cost per meal	\$4.44	\$3.52	\$2.81
Cost per mile		\$1.84	\$1.89	\$3.72
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$1,242	\$1,092
Very low	Low Compa	arable	Hiah	Verv high

Per pupil spending


						Peer	;	State
	District				a١	verage	a١	/erage
Spending by area		2015	2016		2016			2016
Instruction	\$	5,730	\$	5,664	\$	5,245	\$	4,145
Administration		2,506		2,327		1,647		806
Plant operations		1,161		1,162		1,511		939
Food service		812		777		496		415
Transportation		633		702		517		364
Student support		549		542		640		633
Instruction support		693		391		409		444
Total operational	\$	12,084	\$	11,565	\$	10,465	\$	7,746
Land and buildings	\$	102	\$	80	\$	455	\$	621
Equipment		189		320		488		400
Interest		7		30		158		216
Other		30		0		47		153
Total nonoperational	\$	328	\$	430	\$	1,148	\$	1,390
Total per pupil spending	\$	12,412	\$	11,995	\$	11,613	\$	9,136

# STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUES

### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate (2015)	95%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2015)	96%	83%	78%
Poverty rate (2015)	27%	24%	23%
Students per teacher	16.2	16.5	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$48,028	\$44,919	\$46,384
Amount from Proposition 301	\$3,912	\$5,307	\$5,315
Average years of teacher experience	9.1	12.0	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	8%	17%	20%

### Students who passed state assessments



#### **Financial stress assessment**

Overall financial stress level: Low	
Measure: 2014 through 2016	Assessment
Change in number of district students	Small school adjustment
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending
Spending increase election results	No election held
Operating reserve percentage, Trend	24.1%, Increasing
Years of capital reserve held	More than 3 years
Current financial and internal control status	Marginally compliant

Moderate

High

Number of peers

State

Per pupil revenues

Low

			L GGI	State				
	Di	strict	average	average				
Revenues by source	2015	2016	2016	2016				
Federal	\$ 1,259	\$ 1,220	\$ 2,338	\$ 1,301				
State	1,908	2,576	3,632	3,780				
Local	11,194	9,479	7,286	4,268				
Total revenues per pupil	\$14,361	\$13,275	\$13,256	\$ 9,349				
Select revenues from common sources								
OCICCI TOVCHIGOS HOITI COITIII	ion source.	,						

Select revenues from common sources									
Equalization formula funding	\$	6,979	\$	7,331	\$	7,157	\$	5,471	
Grants		1,260		1,273		2,186		1,182	
Donations and tax credits		67		52		264		86	

				realison of pools
Select revenues from less co	receiving			
Desegregation	\$	0	\$ 0	0 of 20
Small school adjustment		7,233	7,257	5 of 20
Federal impact aid		0	0	5 of 20
Voter-approved levy increases	;	0	0	15 of 20

Arizona Auditor General

Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2016 | March 2017 | Report 17-204

## Ash Fork JUSD—page 2

### Classroom dollar percentage


2001 Year: 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Percentage: 55.6 58.9 54.8 53.1 42.1 49.0 53.1 55.4 60.8 47.0 53.2 52.8 47.1 47.4 43.3 47.4

## OPERATIONAL TRENDS Fiscal years as indicated


## 5-year spending trend (2011 through 2016)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, increased by 2 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 47.1 to 49 percent. Overall, as a percentage of total operational spending, instruction support decreased substantially and plant operations decreased, while transportation increased substantially and food service increased.


## Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2016 dollars)




### Changes in operational spending percentages




#### Students attending




### Administrative cost per pupil




## Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



#### Food service cost per meal



### Transportation costs per mile and per rider



Arizona Auditor General