Chino Valley Unified School District

Yavapai County

Efficiency peer groups 4 and T-7, Achievement peer group 5 Legislative district(s): 1

District size, location: Students attending: Medium-large, Town

2,206


4

Number of schools:

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area





Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		Distr	ict	Peer average	State average
area				344	\$798	
	Cost per pupil	фδ	544	\$790	\$ \$780	
Administration	Students per					5 67
	administrative	position		1 _	0.	07
Plant	Cost per squa	re foot	\$5.	.86	\$5.52	2 \$6.09
	Square footag	e per	151		40.	4.50
operations	student	·		51	19 ⁻	1 153
Food service	Cost per meal	\$2.89		\$2.80	\$2.79	
rood service	equivalent			Φ∠.οι	Φ2.79	
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$3.26		\$3.34	4 \$3.66
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$820		\$768	3 \$1,071
Very low	Low	Compar	abla		High	Verv high
very low	LUW	Comparable			HIGH	very riigii

Per pupil spending

rer papir speriaring						Peer		State	
	District		average		average				
Spending by area	2014			2015	2015		2015		
Instruction	\$	3,551	\$	3,482	\$	3,941	\$	4,105	
Administration		862		844		798		780	
Plant operations		875		886		1,045		930	
Food service		447		478		419		417	
Transportation		386		429		411		371	
Student support		604		598		597		613	
Instruction support		300		324		479		442	
Total operational	\$	7,025	\$	7,041	\$	7,690	\$	7,658	
Land and buildings	\$	186	\$	114	\$	382	\$	641	
Equipment		263		280		281		383	
Interest		34		35		200		225	
Other		18		45		59		150	
Total nonoperational	\$	501	\$	474	\$	922	\$	1,399	
Total per pupil spending	\$	7,526	\$	7,515	\$	8,612	\$	9,057	


FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUE

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES,

Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2014)	88%	81%	76%
Poverty rate (2014)	26%	24%	23%
Students per teacher	20.4	16.7	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$40,554	\$43,855	\$46,008
Average years of teacher experience	11.5	12.3	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	14%	18%	20%

Students who met state standards



Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Moderate

Measure: 2013 through	Assessment				
Change in number of dist	Steady				
Spending exceeded oper	No overspending				
Spending increase election	Voter-rejected				
Operating reserve percent	2.6%, Decreasing				
Years of capital reserve he	1 to 3 years				
Current financial and inter	Compliant				
Low	Moderate	High			

Per pupil revenues

Federal impact aid

Voter-approved levy increases

er pupir revenues								
or papir rottorials						Peer		State
	District		average		average			
Revenues by source		2014 2015			2015		2015	
Federal	\$	1,136	\$	1,079	\$	2,889	\$	1,299
State		2,773		2,892		3,860		3,517
Local		3,840		3,518		2,930		4,248
Total revenues per pupil	\$	7,749	\$	7,489	\$	9,679	\$	9,064
Select revenues from comm	on	source	s					
Equalization formula funding	\$	5,558	\$	5,275	\$	5,185	\$	5,303
Grants		1,167		1,096		1,573		1,167
Donations and tax credits		80		93		48		78
Number of peers								peers
Select revenues from less common sources receiving					ng			
Desegregation	\$ 0 \$ 0 1 of 1			f 18	3			
Small school adjustment		0 0			0 of 18			

0

7 of 18

15 of 18

0

Chino Valley USD—page 2

Classroom dollar percentage


2001 2002 2003 2004 2006 2007 2008 2009 Year: 2005 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Percentage: 60.5 58.1 59.6 57.9 58.7 58.2 59.3 57.8 57.2 52.5 49.4 49.6 50.5 50.6 49.4

OPERATIONAL TRENDSFiscal years as indicated


5-year spending trend (2010 through 2015)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 9 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom decreased from 52.5 to 49.4 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, plant operations and student support increased substantially, instruction support increased, and food service and transportation increased slightly. Administration decreased substantially.


Total operational and instructional spending per student (inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars)




Changes in operational spending percentages




Students attending




Administrative cost per student



Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



Food service cost per meal



Transportation costs per mile and per rider

