Crane Elementary School District

Yuma County

Efficiency peer groups 8 and T-1, Achievement peer group 13¹

Legislative district(s): 4 and 13


District size, location: Medium-large, City

Students attending: 5,958

Number of schools: 11

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area



Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		Distric	• †	Peer average	State average
urca	Cost per pup	il	\$82		\$974	_
Administration				'4	68	67
Plant	Cost per squa	are foot	6	\$6.31	\$6.30	
operations	Square footag student	Square footage per student		5	147	155
Food service	Cost per mea	ıl	\$2.7	9	\$2.75	\$2.88
Tunnamantatian	Cost per mile	\$4.87		\$6.81	\$3.84	
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$785		\$942	\$1,198
Very low	Low	Compar	able		Hiah	Verv high


Per pupil spending

Arizona Auditor General

					Peer	:	State
	Di	District			average		/erage
Spending by area	2016		2017		2017		2017
Instruction	\$ 3,320	\$	3,318	\$	4,164	\$	4,377
Administration	807		822		974		844
Plant operations	771		880		909		977
Food service	478		558		640		422
Transportation	253		249		327		381
Student support	380		425		626		679
Instruction support	470		546		559		461
Total operational	\$ 6,479	\$	6,798	\$	8,199	\$	8,141
Land and buildings	\$ 864	\$	577	\$	924	\$	691
Equipment	298		156		582		424
Interest	133		131		255		236
Other	1		0		146		161
Total nonoperational	\$ 1,296	\$	864	\$	1,907	\$	1,512
Total per pupil spending	\$ 7,775	\$	7,662	\$	10,106	\$	9,653

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, AND REVENUES

Students who passed state assessments



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2016)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2016)	25%	25%	22%
Special education population	10%	12%	12%
Students per teacher	19.9	19.1	18.5
Average teacher salary	\$42,344	\$43,084	\$48,372
Amount from Prop 301	\$6,097	\$4,885	\$5,840
Average years of teacher experience	8.9	8.3	11.3
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	23%	30%	19%

Per pupil revenues

Revenues by source	District 2016 2017			erage 2017	average 2017		
Federal	\$	1,205	\$	1,414	\$ 1,848	\$	1,318
State		4,864		4,838	3,857		3,831
Local		1,240		1,233	4,627		4,443
Total per pupil revenues	\$	7,309	\$	7,485	\$ 10,332	\$	9,592
Local	\$	1,240	\$	1,233	\$ 4,6	627	627

State

Select revenues from common sources									
Equalization formula funding	\$	4,853	\$	4,973	\$	5,145	\$	5,503	
Amount from Prop 123		226		230		236		245	
Prop 123 additional funding		44		44		43		46	
Grants		1,422		1,465		1,915		1,185	
Donations and tax credits		30		62		54		89	

					Number of pee	ers
Sel	ect revenues from less co	receiving				
Des	segregation	\$	0	\$ 0	4 of 19	
Sm	all school adjustment		0	0	0 of 19	
Fed	deral impact aid		0	0	0 of 19	
Vot	er-approved levy increases	3	39	435	19 of 19	

See Appendix A for information such as districts included in each peer group and Appendix B for sources and methodology.

Crane ESD—page 2

Instructional spending percentage


Year: 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 Percentage: 56.8 59.4 59.0 58.8 57.4 56.5 55.8 56.0 54.3 53.5 52.8 51.1 50.8 51.2 50.9 51.2 48.8

OPERATIONAL TRENDS AND FINANCIAL STRESS ASSESSMENT Fiscal years as indicated


5-year spending trend (2012 through 2017)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, increased by 3 percent. The percentage of dollars spent on instruction decreased overall from 51.1 to 48.8 percent. Overall, as a percentage of total operational spending, plant operations, food service and student support increased slightly. All other noninstructional areas remained fairly stable.


Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2017 dollars)




Students attending




Financial stress assessment




Administrative cost per pupil



Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



Food service cost per meal



Transportation costs per mile and per rider

