# **Double Adobe Elementary School District**


Cochise County District size, location: Very small, Rural

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-11, Achievement peer group 20<sup>1</sup> Students attending: 40

Legislative district(s): 14 Number of schools:

### **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

# Spending by operational area



# Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational			Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$2,135	\$3,137	\$844
Administration	Students per administrative position	32	32	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$7.41	\$6.96	\$6.30
operations	Square footage per student	217	412	155
Food service	Cost per meal	N/A	\$5.79	\$2.88
Cost per mile		\$0.70	\$1.87	\$3.84
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$638	\$1,459	\$1,198


### Per pupil spending

Arizona Auditor General

				Peer		5	State
	Di	ict	average	9	av	erage	
Spending by area	2016		2017	2017		2	2017
Instruction	\$ 8,170	\$	8,646	\$ 8,61	3	\$	4,377
Administration	2,184		2,135	3,13	7		844
Plant operations	1,827		1,610	2,64	4		977
Food service	0		0	1,00	0		422
Transportation	320		318	96	1		381
Student support	653		586	60	1		679
Instruction support	90		175	498	3		461
Total operational	\$ 13,244	\$	13,470	\$ 17,45	4	\$	8,141
Land and buildings	\$ 2,378	\$	1,966	\$ 1,16	1	\$	691
Equipment	746		793	73	5		424
Interest	28		19	3	1		236
Other	0		0	9	0		161
Total nonoperational	\$ 3,152	\$	2,778	\$ 2,01	7	\$	1,512
Total per pupil spending	\$ 16,396	\$	16,248	\$19,47	1	\$	9,653

# STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, AND REVENUES

### Students who passed state assessments



#### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2016)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2016)	40%	44%	22%
Special education population	20%	15%	12%
Students per teacher	10.1	11.2	18.5
Average teacher salary	\$53,974	\$48,132	\$48,372
Amount from Prop 301	\$6,926	\$4,375	\$5,840
Average years of teacher experience	14.5	11.5	11.3
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	0%	6%	19%

#### Per pupil revenues

Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2017 | March 2018 | Report 18-203

	Di	strict	average	average	
Revenues by source	2016	2017	2017	2017	
Federal	\$ 835	\$ 1,021	\$ 2,908	\$ 1,318	
State	12,941	9,740	5,692	3,831	
Local	4,930	4,814	13,343	4,443	
Total per pupil revenues	\$18,706	\$15,575	\$21,943	\$ 9,592	

State

# Select revenues from common sources

Equalization formula funding	\$ 10,315	\$ 8,591	\$ 9,932	\$ 5,503
Amount from Prop 123	389	309	315	245
Prop 123 additional funding	62	49	57	46
Grants	2,736	2,926	2,701	1,185
Donations and tax credits	193	187	184	89

# Number of peers Select revenues from less common sources receiving

COLOCT TO VOTIGOO IT CITI TOOD CONTINION COULOGO						rocorving			
	Desegregation	\$	0	\$	0	0 of 54			
	Small school adjustment		3,035		3,382	44 of 54			
	Federal impact aid		0		0	5 of 54			
	Voter-approved levy increases		0		0	7 of 54			

See Appendix A for information such as districts included in each peer group and Appendix B for sources and methodology.

# Double Adobe ESD—page 2

#### Instructional spending percentage


Year: 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 Percentage: 66.0 65.4 64.1 63.5 62.9 63.3 62.4 61.6 61.3 64.3 65.0 61.5 69.6 63.5 60.1 61.7 64.2

# OPERATIONAL TRENDS AND FINANCIAL STRESS ASSESSMENT Fiscal years as indicated


#### 5-year spending trend (2012 through 2017)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, increased by 23 percent. The percentage of dollars spent on instruction varied year to year, increasing overall from 61.5 to 64.2 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, most noninstructional areas also varied year to year, as is common for very small districts. Overall, student support decreased substantially and plant operations decreased.

# Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2017 dollars)




#### Students attending



#### Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress	level:	Low			
Measure: 2015 through	2017		Assessment		
Change in number of dis	trict students		Small school adjustment		
Spending exceeded ope	rating/capital	budgets	No overspending		
Spending increase election	No election held				
Operating reserve percentage, Trend			2.6%, Decreasing		
Years of capital reserve held			More than 3 years		
Current financial and internal control status			Not assessed		
Low	Moderate		High		

#### Administrative cost per pupil



# Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



#### Food service cost per meal

Food service cost per meal trend is not shown because the District did not operate a food service program during all or a substantial portion of fiscal years 2012 through 2017.

# Transportation costs per mile and per rider

