Grand Canyon Unified School District


Coconino County

Efficiency peer groups 6 and T-10, Achievement peer group 6 Legislative district(s): 6

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Small, Rural 295 2

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil decreased by 8 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 45.9 to 47.7 percent. Overall, spending on transportation and instruction support decreased. Spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per p	upil	\$2,032	\$1,571	\$746
Administration	Students per administrator		49	43	67
Plant	Cost per square foot		\$9.87	\$4.94	\$6.03
operations	Square footage per student		260	318	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$2.10	\$3.28	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$0.97	\$1.97	\$3.55
Панаронацон	Cost per rider		\$599	\$1,010	\$1,015
Very low	Low	w Comparable		gh V	ery high


Per pupil spending by operational area

		1 661	Glale	rational
District		average	average	average
2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
\$12,518	\$11,642	\$10,188	\$7,496	\$10,658
6,254	5,548	4,979	4,031	6,520
6,264	6,094	5,209	3,465	4,138
1,693	2,032	1,571	746	1,138
2,636	2,570	1,558	924	1,015
414	311	463	396	412
380	313	509	369	452
572	674	647	582	593
569	194	461	448	528
	2012 \$12,518 6,254 6,264 1,693 2,636 414 380 572	2012 2013 \$12,518 \$11,642 6,254 5,548 6,264 6,094 1,693 2,032 2,636 2,570 414 311 380 313 572 674	District average 2012 2013 2013 \$12,518 \$11,642 \$10,188 6,254 5,548 4,979 6,264 6,094 5,209 1,693 2,032 1,571 2,636 2,570 1,558 414 311 463 380 313 509 572 674 647	District average average 2012 2013 2013 2013 \$12,518 \$11,642 \$10,188 \$7,496 6,254 5,548 4,979 4,031 6,264 6,094 5,209 3,465 1,693 2,032 1,571 746 2,636 2,570 1,558 924 414 311 463 396 380 313 509 369 572 674 647 582


STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

ADE-reported district and school letter grades





Students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

District		
District	average	average
94%	93%	94%
67%	80%	77%
34%	33%	25%
10.5	15.3	18.3
\$36,444	\$43,207	\$45,264
\$1,951	\$3,525	\$3,784
12.1	11.9	10.9
22%	20%	19%
	67% 34% 10.5 \$36,444 \$1,951 12.1	67% 80% 34% 33% 10.5 15.3 \$36,444 \$43,207 \$1,951 \$3,525 12.1 11.9

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low		
Measure: 2011 through 2013	Assessment	
Number of students attending district	Small school adjustment	
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending	
Spending increase election results	Voter-approved	
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend	3.8%, Increasing	
Years of capital reserve held	Impact Aid Fund reserve	
Current financial and internal control status	Compliant	

National