Humboldt Unified School District

Yavapai County

Efficiency peer groups 3 and T-4, Achievement peer group 3 Legislative district(s): 1


District size / location: Medium-Large, Suburb Students attending: 5,636

Number of schools: 9

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 2 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 61.8 to 56 percent. Spending on plant operations, transportation, student support, and instruction support increased, while spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational	•			Peer	State	
area	Measure		District	average	average	
	Cost per pupil		\$544	\$736	\$736	
Administration	Students per administrator		108	71	66	
Plant	Cost per square foot		\$5.03	\$5.88	\$6.09	
operations	Square footage per student		143	158	152	
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$2.50	\$2.74	\$2.47	
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$2.81	\$3.62	\$3.50	
	Cost per rider		\$844	\$1,088	\$982	
Very low	Low	Comparable	Hiç	gh V	ery high	

Per-pupil spending by operational area

		Peer	State	inational
District		average	average	average
2011	2012	2012	2012	2010
\$6,469	\$6,584	\$7,033	\$7,475	\$10,652
3,639	3,687	3,759	4,053	6,526
2,830	2,897	3,274	3,422	4,126
591	544	736	736	1,139
738	719	920	928	1,012
336	360	338	382	405
432	452	426	362	443
500	510	546	578	592
233	312	308	436	535
	2011 \$6,469 3,639 2,830 591 738 336 432 500	2011 2012 \$6,469 \$6,584 3,639 3,687 2,830 2,897 591 544 738 719 336 360 432 452 500 510	District average 2011 2012 2012 \$6,469 \$6,584 \$7,033 3,639 3,687 3,759 2,830 2,897 3,274 591 544 736 738 719 920 336 360 338 432 452 426 500 510 546	District average average 2011 2012 2012 2012 \$6,469 \$6,584 \$7,033 \$7,475 3,639 3,687 3,759 4,053 2,830 2,897 3,274 3,422 591 544 736 736 738 719 920 928 336 360 338 382 432 452 426 362 500 510 546 578

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	
Α	2	22%	
В	5		56%
С	2	22%	
D	0	0%	
F	0	0%	
Not rated	0	0%	

Percentage of students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2011)	82%	80%	78%
Poverty rate (2011)	25%	24%	25%
Students per teacher	19.4	18.2	18.1
Average teacher salary	\$41,765	\$45,442	\$45,193
Amount from Proposition 301	\$3,690	\$3,594	\$3,195
Average years of teacher experience	10.9	11.8	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	12%	14%	16%

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress	s level:	Low		
Measure: 2010 through 20	Assessment			
Number of students attending			Moderate decrease	
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets			No overspending	
Spending increase election results			No election held	
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend			3.1%, Increasing	
Years of capital reserve held			1 to 3 years	
Current financial and internal control status			Compliant	
Stress level				
Low	Mode	rate	High	

Office of the Auditor General

FY2012 page 101