Kingman Unified School District

Mohave County

Efficiency peer groups 4 and T-11, Achievement peer group 6 Legislative district(s): 5


District size / location: Students attending: Number of schools: Medium-Large, Town

6,750 10

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 8 percent. Spending in the classroom decreased overall from 54.9 to 52.6 percent. Spending on plant operations, transportation, student support, and instruction support increased slightly, while spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational	•		Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$624	\$748	\$736
Administration	Students per administrator	55	66	66
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$4.47	\$5.53	\$6.09
operations	Square footage per student	201	173	152
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$2.35	\$2.56	\$2.47
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$2.21	\$3.50
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$945	\$978	\$982
Very low	Low Comparab	le Hiç	gh V	ery high

Per-pupil spending by operational area

		Peer	State	inational
Dist	rict	average	average	average
2011	2012	2012	2012	2010
\$6,390	\$6,723	\$7,007	\$7,475	\$10,652
3,390	3,536	3,682	4,053	6,526
3,000	3,187	3,325	3,422	4,126
628	624	748	736	1,139
808	901	933	928	1,012
275	298	354	382	405
471	455	369	362	443
570	593	540	578	592
248	316	381	436	535
	2011 \$6,390 3,390 3,000 628 808 275 471 570	\$6,390 \$6,723 3,390 3,536 3,000 3,187 628 624 808 901 275 298 471 455 570 593	District average 2011 2012 2012 \$6,390 \$6,723 \$7,007 3,390 3,536 3,682 3,000 3,187 3,325 628 624 748 808 901 933 275 298 354 471 455 369 570 593 540	District average average 2011 2012 2012 2012 \$6,390 \$6,723 \$7,007 \$7,475 3,390 3,536 3,682 4,053 3,000 3,187 3,325 3,422 628 624 748 736 808 901 933 928 275 298 354 382 471 455 369 362 570 593 540 578

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades





Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	
Α	2	20%	
В	3	30%	6
С	3	30%	6
D	2	20%	
F	0	0%	
Not rated	0	0%	

Percentage of students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	93%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2011)	76%	80%	78%
Poverty rate (2011)	31%	31%	25%
Students per teacher	17.6	15.2	18.1
Average teacher salary	\$35,809	\$40,242	\$45,193
Amount from Proposition 301	\$4,167	\$3,191	\$3,195
Average years of teacher experience	10.3	12.0	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	19%	16%	16%

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stre	ss level: Low		
Measure: 2010 through	2012	Assessment	
Number of students attending		Moderate decrease	
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets		No overspending	
Spending increase election results		No election held	
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend		3.8%, Decreasing	
Years of capital reserve held		More than 3 years	
Current financial and internal control status		Compliant	
Stress level			
Low	Moderate	High	

Office of the Auditor General

FY2012 page 107