Mammoth-San Manuel Unified School District

Pinal County

Efficiency peer groups 5 and T-8, Achievement peer group 5 Legislative district(s): 8


District size / location: Students attending: Number of schools: Medium, Town 909

4

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 5 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 54.9 to 56 percent. Spending on administration decreased substantially and spending on student support increased. Spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per p	upil	\$739	\$1,074	\$736
Administration	Students per administrator		58	56	66
Plant	Cost per so	quare foot	\$3.21	\$4.82	\$6.09
operations	Square foo student	tage per	330	250	152
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$2.72	\$2.97	\$2.47
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$1.82	\$2.87	\$3.50
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$444	\$726	\$982
Very low	Low	Comparable	e Hic	gh V	ery high


Per-pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	National
	Distr	rict	average	average	average
	2011	2012	2012	2012	2010
Total	\$8,003	\$7,924	\$8,416	\$7,475	\$10,652
Classroom dollars	4,276	4,434	4,202	4,053	6,526
Nonclassroom dollars:	3,727	3,490	4,214	3,422	4,126
Administration	943	739	1,074	736	1,139
Plant operations	1,085	1,061	1,179	928	1,012
Food service	439	457	412	382	405
Transportation	354	378	536	362	443
Student support	619	557	619	578	592
Instruction support	287	298	394	436	535


STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

ADE-reported district and school letter grades





Percentage of students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

	Peer	State
District	average	average
95%	94%	94%
82%	82%	78%
26%	24%	25%
14.7	17.0	18.1
\$39,716	\$40,636	\$45,193
\$2,924	\$3,192	\$3,195
11.2	11.8	11.0
13%	15%	16%
	95% 82% 26% 14.7 \$39,716 \$2,924 11.2	District average 95% 94% 82% 82% 26% 24% 14.7 17.0 \$39,716 \$40,636 \$2,924 \$3,192 11.2 11.8

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stres	ss level: N	/loderate	
Measure: 2010 through 2	2012		Assessment
Number of students attending			Large decrease
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets			No overspending
Spending increase election results			No election held
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend			4.0%, Steady
Years of capital reserve held			1 to 3 years
Current financial and internal control status			Compliant
Stress level			
Low	Moder	ate	High

State of Arizona