Mobile Elementary School District

Maricopa County

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-11, Achievement peer group 16 Legislative district(s): 4


OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very small, Rural

12 1

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUES

Spending by operational area



Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure	District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per pupil	\$16,335	\$2,987	\$806
Administration	Students per administrative position	7	30	67
Plant operations	Cost per square foot	\$6.98	\$7.21	\$6.10
	Square footage per student	1,858	364	154
Food service	Cost per meal	\$8.76	\$5.54	\$2.81
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$2.19	\$1.75	\$3.72
	Cost per rider	\$1,933	\$1,495	\$1,092

Per pupil spending

						Peer		State	
		Di	stri	ct	a١	/erage	average		
Spending by area	2	015		2016		2016	1	2016	
Instruction	\$ 20	,389	\$	17,422	\$	8,342	\$	4,145	
Administration	18	,341		16,335		2,987		806	
Plant operations	12	,748		12,967		2,523		939	
Food service	3	,568		2,501		918		415	
Transportation	1	,887		1,884		1,108		364	
Student support		350		487		664		633	
Instruction support	1	,554		1,386		514		444	
Total operational	\$ 58	,837	\$	52,982	\$	17,056	\$	7,746	
Land and buildings	\$ 8	,760	\$	15,785	\$	2,005	\$	621	
Equipment	4	,739		3,583		819		400	
Interest		47		35		31		216	
Other	1	,653		862		87		153	
Total nonoperational	\$ 15	,199	\$	20,265	\$	2,942	\$	1,390	
Total per pupil spending	\$ 74	,036	\$	73,247	\$	19,998	\$	9,136	

Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate (2015)	92%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2015)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2015)	0%	11%	23%
Students per teacher	4.1	11.8	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$43,876	\$48,263	\$46,384
Amount from Proposition 301	\$1,050	\$3,818	\$5,315
Average years of teacher experience	10.2	17.1	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	7%	8%	20%

Students who passed state assessments

Measure did not meet auditors' criteria for reporting.

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress	level:	Moderate	
Measure: 2014 through	2016		Assessment
Change in number of dis	strict students	S	Small school adjustment
Spending exceeded ope	rating/capita	l budgets	Operating only
Spending increase election	ion results		No election held
Operating reserve percer	ntage, Trend		Frozen taxes, Unfunded
Years of capital reserve l	neld		More than 3 years
Current financial and inte	ernal control	status	Compliant
Low	Mode	erate	Hiah

Door

State

Per pupil revenues

		Peer	State		
Di	strict	average	average		
2015	2016	2016	2016		
\$ 1,265	\$ 908	\$ 3,667	\$ 1,301		
3,259	23,834	6,156	3,780		
58,034	59,822	14,438	4,268		
\$62,558	\$84,564	\$24,261	\$ 9,349		
on sources	5				
\$ 15,495 2,688 257	\$ 10,781 22,688 224	\$ 9,047 4,768 186	\$ 5,471 1,182 86		
		Number	of peers		
mmon sou	urces	receiving			
\$ 0	\$ 0	0.0	f 52		
35,831	34,972	44 o	f 52		
0	0	3 0	52		
0	0	7 0	f 52		
	2015 \$ 1,265 3,259 58,034 \$ 62,558 on sources \$ 15,495 2,688 257 onmon sou \$ 0 35,831 0	\$ 1,265 \$ 908 3,259 23,834 58,034 59,822 \$ 62,558 \$ 84,564 on sources \$ 15,495 \$ 10,781 2,688 22,688 257 224 sources \$ 0 \$ 0 35,831 34,972 0 0 0	District average 2015 2015 2016 \$ 1,265 \$ 908 \$ 1,265 \$ 908 \$ 3,667 3,259 23,834 \$ 62,558 \$ 84,564 \$ 62,558 \$ 84,564 \$ 15,495 \$ 10,781 \$ 15,495 \$ 10,781 2,688 22,688 257 224 \$ 186 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0		

Mobile ESD—page 2

Classroom dollar percentage

Year:	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Percentage:	31.8	33.6	37.3	34.9	34.2	28.6	26.9	31.3	39.6	33.6	36.0	30.3	38.1	33.8	34.7	32.9


OPERATIONAL TRENDS Fiscal years as indicated

5-year spending trend (2011 through 2016)


Administrative cost per pupil


Student enrollment decreased by 40 percent, which contributed to the 33 percent increase in total spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 36 to 32.9 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, all nonclassroom areas also varied year to year, as is common for very small districts.

Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2016 dollars)





Changes in operational spending percentages






Students attending







Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



Food service cost per meal



Transportation costs per mile and per rider



Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2016 | March 2017 | Report 17-204