# **Murphy Elementary School District**

Maricopa County


Efficiency peer groups 8 and T-1, Achievement peer group 15 Legislative district(s): 19 and 27

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Medium, City 1,940 4

## **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

# Spending by operational area

#### Nonclassroom dollars



## 5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil decreased by 16 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 51 to 47.9 percent. Overall, spending on instruction support increased substantially and spending on food service and transportation increased. Spending on student support decreased substantially and spending on other nonclassroom areas varied year to year.

## Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per pupil		\$1,225	\$791	\$746
Administration Students per administrator		46	69	67	
Plant	Cost per so	quare foot	\$6.97	\$6.28	\$6.03
operations	Square feetage per		157	138	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$3.02	\$2.54	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$6.40	\$6.28	\$3.55
Cost per rider		\$512	\$582	\$1,015	
Very low	Low	Comparabl	e Hig	gh V	ery high

## Per pupil spending by operational area

			1 001	Olaic	radional
	District		average	average	average
	2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
Total	\$8,386	\$8,631	\$7,193	\$7,496	\$10,658
Classroom dollars	3,930	4,137	3,672	4,031	6,520
Nonclassroom dollars:	4,456	4,494	3,521	3,465	4,138
Administration	1,173	1,225	791	746	1,138
Plant operations	1,070	1,097	842	924	1,015
Food service	671	714	541	396	412
Transportation	252	251	273	369	452
Student support	517	496	541	582	593
Instruction support	773	711	533	448	528

# STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


## ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



Grade	Number of schools		centage chools	of	
Α	0	09	6		
В	1			25%	
С	2				50%
D	1			25%	
F	0	09	6		
Not rated	0	0%	6		

## Students who met state standards (AIMS)



#### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2012)	51%	50%	25%
Students per teacher	21.1	18.8	18.3
Average teacher salary	\$39,888	\$46,010	\$45,264
Amount from Proposition 301	\$4,533	\$2,972	\$3,784
Average years of teacher experience	9.3	8.7	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	30%	32%	19%

#### Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low	
Measure: 2011 through 2013	Assessment
Number of students attending district	Moderate decrease
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending
Spending increase election results	Voter-approved
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend	2.9%, Increasing
Years of capital reserve held	More than 3 years
Current financial and internal control status	Compliant
Stress level	
Low Moderate	High

National