# **Pearce Elementary School District**

Cochise County

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-13, Achievement peer group 21 Legislative district(s): 14 District size / location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very small, Rural 86 1

#### OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

#### Spending by operational area Nonclassroom dollars Administration 16.3% Classroom dollars Plant operations 12.8% Instruction 58% Food service 4.6% Transportation 7% Student support 0.1% Instruction support 1.2%

#### 5-year spending trend

Student enrollment decreased 23 percent, which contributed to the 54 percent increase in total spending per pupil. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 54.4 to 58 percent. Spending on some nonclassroom areas also varied year to year, as is common for very small districts. Overall, spending on administration decreased substantially.

#### Cost measures relative to peer averages

			<u> </u>	-
Operational			Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$2,414	\$2,472	\$736
Administration	Students per administrator	26	30	66
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$5.58	\$6.93	\$6.09
operations	Square footage per student	341	320	152
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$3.81	\$4.88	\$2.47
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$2.09	\$1.53	\$3.50
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$968	\$1,171	\$982


## Per-pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	National
	District		average	average	average
	2011	2012	2012	2012	2010
Total	\$15,697	\$14,879	\$15,082	\$7,475	\$10,652
Classroom dollars	8,492	8,635	7,880	4,053	6,526
Nonclassroom dollars:	7,205	6,244	7,202	3,422	4,126
Administration	2,660	2,414	2,472	736	1,139
Plant operations	1,949	1,905	2,126	928	1,012
Food service	740	682	756	382	405
Transportation	1,120	1,048	970	362	443
Student support	377	21	541	578	592
Instruction support	359	174	337	436	535

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

#### ADE-reported district and school letter grades **District grade:** Number of Percentage of Grade schools schools 0 А 0% В 0 0% С 1 100% D 0% 0 F 0% 0 Not rated 0 0%

#### Percentage of students who met state standards (AIMS)



#### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	95%	94%
Graduation rate (2011)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2011)	37%	39%	25%
Students per teacher	8.6	14.4	18.1
Average teacher salary	\$45,556	\$40,820	\$45,193
Amount from Proposition 301	\$1,264	\$2,313	\$3,195
Average years of teacher experience	14.4	11.7	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	0%	12%	16%
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	0%	12%	16%

## Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low

Measure: 2010 through	Assessment	
Number of students atter	Small school adjustment	
Spending exceeded oper	No overspending	
Spending increase election	No election held	
Operating reserve percer	4.0%, Steady	
Years of capital reserve h	More than 3 years	
Current financial and internal control status		Marginally compliant
Stress level		
Low	Moderate	Hiah