Pima Unified School District

Graham County


Efficiency peer groups 5 and T-7, Achievement peer group 4 Legislative district(s): 14

District size, location: Medium, Rural Students attending: 773
Number of schools: 3

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



5-year spending trend (2009 through 2014)

Total spending per pupil decreased by 13 percent. Spending in the classroom was very inconsistent year to year, increasing overall from 59.7 to 61.5 percent. Overall, spending on administration increased, while spending on plant operations and instruction support decreased and spending on transportation decreased slightly.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

			•		_	
Operational area	Measure		Distri	ct	Peer average	State average
area	Wicasarc		Distri	ΟL	average	average
	Cost per pupil		\$9	900	\$1,016	\$757
Administration	Students per administrator			64	54	68
Plant	Cost per squa	re foot	\$4.	.69	\$4.71	\$6.04
operations	Square footag student	e per	1	42	261	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$3.	.39	\$3.28	\$2.69
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$3.	.56	\$3.45	\$3.62
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$3	319	\$832	\$1,036
Very low	Low	Compa	rable		Hiah	Verv high

Per pupil spending by operational area

		Peer	State	National
District		average	average	average
2013	2014	2014	2014	2012
\$6,325	\$6,597	\$8,082	\$7,578	\$10,667
3,768	4,057	4,193	4,073	6,495
2,557	2,540	3,889	3,505	4,172
828	900	1,016	757	1,160
679	666	1,145	923	1,008
427	391	389	405	429
190	162	477	373	464
247	256	563	600	594
186	165	299	447	517
	2013 \$6,325 3,768 2,557 828 679 427 190 247	2013 2014 \$6,325 \$6,597 3,768 4,057 2,557 2,540 828 900 679 666 427 391 190 162 247 256	District average 2013 2014 2014 \$6,325 \$6,597 \$8,082 3,768 4,057 4,193 2,557 2,540 3,889 828 900 1,016 679 666 1,145 427 391 389 190 162 477 247 256 563	District average average 2013 2014 2014 2014 \$6,325 \$6,597 \$8,082 \$7,578 3,768 4,057 4,193 4,073 2,557 2,540 3,889 3,505 828 900 1,016 757 679 666 1,145 923 427 391 389 405 190 162 477 373 247 256 563 600

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades





Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	District	graao.
A	0	0%		
В	1		33%	
С	2			67%
D	0	0%		
F	0	0%		
Not rated	0	0%		

Students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	96%	94%	95%
Graduation rate (2013)	94%	86%	75%
Poverty rate (2013)	18%	15%	24%
Students per teacher	17.2	16.1	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$38,620	\$41,932	\$46,026
Amount from Proposition 301	\$5,396	\$4,526	\$4,810
Average years of teacher experience	11.1	10.2	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	33%	21%	20%

Financial stress assessment


Overall financial stre	ess level: Low	
Measure: 2012 through	Assessment	
Change in number of dis	Increase	
Spending exceeded ope	No overspending	
Spending increase election	No election held	
Operating reserve percen	3.7%, Increasing	
Years of capital reserve h	1 to 3 years	
Current financial and inte	Compliant	
Stress level		
Low	Moderate	High

Pima Unified School District


2014 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 Classroom dollar percentage: 59.9 61.5 61.0 58.7 59.6 57.6 60.9 60.4 60.3 57.8 59.0 57.2 61.5

OPERATIONAL TRENDSFiscal years as indicated


Students attending




Administrative cost per student




Total operational and instructional spending per student




Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student




Changes in operational spending percentages



Food service cost per meal



Average teacher salary



Transportation costs per mile and per rider

