Pima Unified School District

Graham County

Efficiency poor groups 5 and T.6. Achievement r

Efficiency peer groups 5 and T-6, Achievement peer group 5

Legislative district(s): 14


District size, location: Medium, Town
Students attending: 796

4

Number of schools:

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area



Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure	District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per pupil	\$835	\$1,145	\$806
Administration	Students per administrative position	69	56	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$5.49	\$5.22	\$6.10
operations	Square footage per student	139	270	154
Food service	Cost per meal	\$3.24	\$3.40	\$2.81
Transportation Cost per mile Cost per rider		\$3.86	\$3.68	\$3.72
		\$706	\$543	\$1,092
Very low	Low Compa	rable	Hiah	Verv hiah

Per pupil spending


						Peer	:	State
	District			average		average		
Spending by area		2015		2016		2016		2016
Instruction	\$	4,209	\$	4,010	\$	4,576	\$	4,145
Administration		801		835		1,145		806
Plant operations		679		763		1,342		939
Food service		465		425		450		415
Transportation		171		183		522		364
Student support		306		307		695		633
Instruction support		221		224		396		444
Total operational	\$	6,852	\$	6,747	\$	9,126	\$	7,746
Land and buildings	\$	58	\$	270	\$	452	\$	621
Equipment		300		348		498		400
Interest		34		15		243		216
Other		0		1		65		153
Total nonoperational	\$	392	\$	634	\$	1,258	\$	1,390
Total per pupil spending	\$	7,244	\$	7,381	\$	10,384	\$	9,136

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUES

Student and teacher measures

Measure District average average	
	ge
Attendance rate (2015) 95% 93% 94	4%
Graduation rate (2015) NR 83% 78	8%
Poverty rate (2015) 23% 24% 23	3%
Students per teacher 16.6 16.5 18	8.6
Average teacher salary \$34,487 \$44,919 \$46,38	384
Amount from Proposition 301 \$5,699 \$5,307 \$5,3°	315
Average years of teacher experience 11.8 12.0 11	1.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years 21% 17% 20	0%

Students who passed state assessments



Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level:

Overall illiariolal stress level.	
Measure: 2014 through 2016	Assessment
Change in number of district students	Increase
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending
Spending increase election results	No election held
Operating reserve percentage, Trend	5.2%, Increasing
Years of capital reserve held	More than 3 years
Current financial and internal control status	Marginally compliant

Per pupil revenues

			1 001		Otato		
District			average		average		
2015 2016		2016		2016			
\$	799	\$	684	\$	4,015	\$	1,301
	5,275		5,742		4,190		3,780
	1,806		1,693		4,081		4,268
\$	7,880	\$	8,119	\$	12,286	\$	9,349
		2015 \$ 799 5,275	2015 \$ 799 \$ 5,275 1,806	2015 2016 \$ 799 \$ 684 5,275 5,742 1,806 1,693	District av 2015 2016 \$ 799 \$ 684 \$ 5,275 5,742 1,693	District average 2015 2016 2016 \$ 799 \$ 684 \$ 4,015 5,275 5,742 4,190 1,806 1,693 4,081	District average 2016 average 2016 \$ 799 \$ 684 \$ 4,015 \$ 5,275 5,742 4,190 1,806 1,693 4,081 \$ 4,081 \$ 4,081

Select revenues from common sources

Equalization formula funding	\$ 5,559	\$ 5,952	\$ 5,922	\$ 5,471
Grants	813	700	1,805	1,182
Donations and tax credits	88	138	139	86

Number of peers

State

Select revenues from less co	receiving			
Desegregation	\$	C \$	0	1 of 29
Small school adjustment	(С	0	0 of 29
Federal impact aid	(С	0	14 of 29
Voter-approved levy increases	19	3	189	19 of 29

Arizona Auditor General

Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2016 | March 2017 | Report 17-204

Pima USD—page 2

Classroom dollar percentage


2001 Year: 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Percentage: 61.0 59.6 57.6 57.8 59.0 57.2 59.6 61.5 59.4 59.9 61.5 58.7 60.9 60.4 60.3 61.4

OPERATIONAL TRENDS Fiscal years as indicated


5-year spending trend (2011 through 2016)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 11 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, ranging overall from a high of 61.5 to a low of 57.2 percent. Overall, as a percentage of total operational spending, instruction support decreased and food service and transportation decreased slightly, while plant operations and student support increased slightly.


Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2016 dollars)




Changes in operational spending percentages



Students attending




Administrative cost per pupil



Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



Food service cost per meal



Transportation costs per mile and per rider



Arizona Auditor General