Quartzsite Elementary School District


La Paz County

Efficiency peer groups 10 and T-7, Achievement peer group 17 Legislative district(s): 5

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Small, Rural 209 2

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil returned to 2008 levels, but spending in the classroom increased from 48.8 to 53.2 percent. Overall, spending on instruction support decreased substantially and spending on plant operations and student support decreased. Spending on transportation increased slightly, while spending on other nonclassroom areas varied year to year.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per pu	ıpil	\$1,302	\$1,130	\$746
Administration	Students padministrat		56	55	67
Plant	Cost per so	quare foot	\$5.10	\$6.14	\$6.03
operations	Square footage per student		254	157	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$2.82	\$2.74	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$2.43	\$3.06	\$3.55
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$602	\$677	\$1,015
Very low	Low Comparable		e Hig	gh V	ery high

Per pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	National
	District		average	average	average
	2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
Total	\$9,324	\$9,143	\$8,518	\$7,496	\$10,658
Classroom dollars	4,261	4,865	4,624	4,031	6,520
Nonclassroom dollars:	5,063	4,278	3,894	3,465	4,138
Administration	1,486	1,302	1,130	746	1,138
Plant operations	1,651	1,294	976	924	1,015
Food service	616	676	511	396	412
Transportation	714	670	537	369	452
Student support	285	211	499	582	593
Instruction support	311	125	241	448	528

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	
Α	0	0%	
В	0	0%	
С	1		50%
D	1		50%
F	0	0%	
Not rated	0	0%	

Students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

	Peer	State
District	average	average
94%	95%	94%
N/A	N/A	N/A
21%	19%	25%
13.1	11.0	18.3
\$42,861	\$42,053	\$45,264
\$4,032	\$2,981	\$3,784
7.6	12.6	10.9
24%	11%	19%
	94% N/A 21% 13.1 \$42,861 \$4,032 7.6	District average 94% 95% N/A N/A 21% 19% 13.1 11.0 \$42,861 \$42,053 \$4,032 \$2,981 7.6 12.6

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Mode	erate
Measure: 2011 through 2013	Assessment
Number of students attending district	Moderate decrease
Spending exceeded operating/capital budge	ets No overspending
Spending increase election results	No election held
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), tre	end 2.1%, Varying
Years of capital reserve held	1 to 3 years
Current financial and internal control status	Compliant
Stress level	
Low Moderate	High