## **Sahuarita Unified School District**

Pima County

Efficiency peer groups 4 and T-7, Achievement peer group 4

Legislative district(s): 2 and 14

District size, location: Medium-large, Town
Students attending: 5,977


8

State

Students attending:
Number of schools:

### **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

## Spending by operational area



## Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational	Managemen	Distri		Peer	State
area	Measure	Distri	Cī	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$7	00	\$791	\$806
Administration	Students per administrative position	n	64	69	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$7.	01	\$5.35	\$6.10
operations	Square footage per student	1:	37	191	154
Food service	Cost per meal	\$2.	65	\$2.94	\$2.81
Cost per mile		\$2.	90	\$3.32	\$3.72
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$6	32	\$863	\$1,092
Very low	Low Comp	arable		Hiah	Verv high

Per pupil spending


						Peer	State		
	District					verage	average		
Spending by area	2015 2016					2016		2016	
Instruction	\$	3,742	\$	3,622	\$	3,915	\$	4,145	
Administration		717		700		791		806	
Plant operations		938		961		987		939	
Food service		323		327		402		415	
Transportation		355		336		405		364	
Student support		497		505		588		633	
Instruction support		161		200		473		444	
Total operational	\$	6,733	\$	6,651	\$	7,561	\$	7,746	
Land and buildings	\$	277	\$	247	\$	232	\$	621	
Equipment		315		119		291		400	
Interest		332		307		195		216	
Other		192		189		69		153	
Total nonoperational	\$	1,116	\$	862	\$	787	\$	1,390	
Total per pupil spending	\$	7,849	\$	7,513	\$	8,348	\$	9,136	

## STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUES

#### Student and teacher measures

	Peer	State
trict	average	average
94%	95%	94%
88%	87%	78%
12%	16%	23%
18.4	15.3	18.6
3,678	\$43,729	\$46,384
1,481	\$4,733	\$5,315
9.2	10.4	11.0
31%	19%	20%
	88% 12% 18.4 8,678 1,481 9.2	trict         average           94%         95%           88%         87%           12%         16%           18.4         15.3           3,678         \$43,729           1,481         \$4,733           9.2         10.4

## Students who passed state assessments



#### **Financial stress assessment**

Overall financial stress level:

Overall illiariolal stress level.	
Measure: 2014 through 2016	Assessment
Change in number of district students	Increase
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending
Spending increase election results	Voter-approved
Operating reserve percentage, Trend	6.4%, Increasing
Years of capital reserve held	1 to 3 years
Current financial and internal control status	Compliant

Per pupil revenues

				1 001		Otato		
	District				a١	/erage	average	
Revenues by source	2015 2016				2016	2016		
Federal	\$	648	\$	593	\$	2,396	\$	1,301
State		2,859		3,190		3,985		3,780
Local		4,808		4,500		3,109		4,268
Total revenues per pupil	\$	8,315	\$	8,283	\$	9,490	\$	9,349

Select revenues from common sources									
Equalization formula funding	\$	4,756	\$	4,869	\$	5,347	\$	5,471	
Grants		567		553		1,480		1,182	
Donations and tax credits		107		115		53		86	

					Number of peers			
Select revenues from less common sources receiving								
Desegregation	\$	0	\$	0	1 of 18			
Small school adjustment		0		0	0 of 18			
Federal impact aid		0		0	7 of 18			
Voter-approved levy increases	1,	645		1,344	15 of 18			

Arizona Auditor General

Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2016 | March 2017 | Report 17-204

## Sahuarita USD—page 2

## Classroom dollar percentage


2001 Year: 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Percentage: 51.4 54.0 55.8 56.7 56.3 53.8 53.2 54.5 55.6 50.3 49.2 54.9 54.7 57.7 57.7 54.4

## OPERATIONAL TRENDS Fiscal years as indicated


## 5-year spending trend (2011 through 2016)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 7 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 57.7 to 54.4 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, student support and instruction support increased and administration increased slightly. Plant operations varied year to year, while food service and transportation remained stable.


# Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2016 dollars)




### Changes in operational spending percentages



#### Students attending




### Administrative cost per pupil




## Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



#### Food service cost per meal



### Transportation costs per mile and per rider



Arizona Auditor General