
# Salome Consolidated Elementary School District

La Paz County

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-11, Achievement peer group 21 Legislative district(s): 5

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very small, Rural 108 1

### OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY



#### Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure	District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per pupil	\$2,138	\$3,013	\$780
Administration	Students per administrative position	48	29	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$7.37	\$6.80	\$6.09
operations	Square footage per student	273	370	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$4.09	\$5.66	\$2.79
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$3.35	\$1.55	\$3.66
	Cost per rider	\$1,460	\$1,620	\$1,071

# Per pupil spending


Per pupir spending					Peer	State		
		Distri	ct	a١	/erage	average		
Spending by area	201	4	2015	:	2015	2015		
Instruction	\$ 6,0	34 \$	5,770	\$	8,258	\$	4,105	
Administration	2,0	75	2,138		3,013		780	
Plant operations	1,7	95	2,012		2,390		930	
Food service	9	72	1,099		967		417	
Transportation	9	37	851		1,127		371	
Student support	7	60	693		664		613	
Instruction support	1	32	92		572		442	
Total operational	\$ 12,7	0 <u>5</u>	12,655	\$	16,991	<u>\$</u>	7,658	
Land and buildings	\$	23 \$	0	\$	961	\$	641	
Equipment	1,8	83	448		582		383	
Interest		0	11		40		225	
Other		5	268		90		150	
Total nonoperational	\$ 1,9	11 \$	727	\$	1,673	\$	1,399	
Total per pupil spending	<u>\$ 14,6</u>	<u>16</u> \$	13,382	<u>\$</u>	18,664	\$	9,057	

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUE

#### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	95%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2014)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2014)	45%	46%	23%
Students per teacher	15.4	12.3	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$38,815	\$45,617	\$46,008
Average years of teacher experience	10.6	11.2	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	44%	22%	20%

### Students who met state standards



#### Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low

Measure: 2013 through	Assessment			
Change in number of dis	Small school adjustment			
Spending exceeded ope	No overspending			
Spending increase election	No election held			
Operating reserve percer	3.7%, Decreasing			
Years of capital reserve I	More than 3 years			
Current financial and inte	Compliant			
Low	High			

# Per pupil revenues

		Peer	State						
Di	strict	average	average						
2014	2015	2015	2015						
\$ 1,208	\$ 1,800	\$ 2,858	\$ 1,299						
3,252	2,865	4,521	3,517						
10,610	9,585	13,591	4,248						
<u>\$15,070</u>	<u>\$14,250</u>	\$20,970	\$ 9,064						
on sources	S								
\$ 7,041	\$ 6,976	\$ 9,139	\$ 5,303						
2,450	1,895	3,089	1,167						
35	32	159	78						
Number									
ommon so	urces	rece	iving						
\$ 0	\$ 0	0 0	f 53						
4,435	3,935	41 o	of 53						
0	0	3 0	f 53						
0	0	7 of 53							
	2014 \$ 1,208 3,252 10,610 \$15,070 on sources \$ 7,041 2,450 35 ommon so \$ 0 4,435 0	\$ 1,208 \$ 1,800 3,252 2,865 10,610 9,585 <b>\$15,070 \$14,250</b> on sources \$ 7,041 \$ 6,976 2,450 1,895 35 32 sommon sources \$ 0 \$ 0 4,435 3,935 0 0 0	District average 2014 2015   2014 2015 2015   \$ 1,208 \$ 1,800 \$ 2,858   3,252 2,865 4,521   10,610 9,585 13,591   \$ 15,070 \$ 14,250 \$ 20,970   on sources \$ 2,450 1,895   \$ 7,041 \$ 6,976 \$ 9,139   2,450 1,895 3,089   35 32 159   mmon sources rece   \$ 0 \$ 0 0 0   4,435 3,935 41 0   0 0 3 0						

Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2015 • Report No. 16-202

Door State

# Salome Consolidated ESD—page 2

## Classroom dollar percentage


Year:	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Percentage:	48.9	48.3	48.4	46.9	44.5	43.4	39.3	39.5	42.2	44.4	49.5	34.5	39.1	47.5	45.6
OPERATIONAL TRENDS															

#### OPERATIONAL TRENDS Fiscal years as indicated

#### 5-year spending trend (2010 through 2015)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 11 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 44.4 to 45.6 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, most nonclassroom areas also varied year to year, as is common for very small districts.


# Total operational and instructional spending per student (inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars)




# Changes in operational spending percentages




# Students attending




## Administrative cost per student



# Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



#### Food service cost per meal



# Transportation costs per mile and per rider

