San Simon Unified School District


Cochise County

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-11, Achievement peer group 5 Legislative district(s): 14

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very small, Rural 110 1

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 12 percent. Spending in the classroom decreased overall from 54.3 to 53.6 percent. Spending on most nonclassroom areas varied year to year, as is common for very small districts. Overall, spending on administration and transportation increased and spending on plant operations decreased.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational			Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$3,781	\$2,572	\$746
Administration	Students per administrator	27	30	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$5.99	\$6.59	\$6.03
operations	Square footage per student	410	343	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$4.48	\$4.93	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$1.05	\$1.64	\$3.55
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$1,332	\$1,184	\$1,015


Per pupil spending by operational area

		Peer	State	National
District		average	average	average
2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
\$21,646	\$17,876	\$15,203	\$7,496	\$10,658
11,301	9,577	7,647	4,031	6,520
10,345	8,299	7,556	3,465	4,138
4,063	3,781	2,572	746	1,138
3,744	2,457	2,148	924	1,015
973	756	851	396	412
909	1,068	1,056	369	452
416	202	548	582	593
240	35	381	448	528
	2012 \$21,646 11,301 10,345 4,063 3,744 973 909 416	2012 2013 \$21,646 \$17,876 11,301 9,577 10,345 8,299 4,063 3,781 3,744 2,457 973 756 909 1,068 416 202	District average 2012 2013 2013 \$21,646 \$17,876 \$15,203 11,301 9,577 7,647 10,345 8,299 7,556 4,063 3,781 2,572 3,744 2,457 2,148 973 756 851 909 1,068 1,056 416 202 548	District average average 2012 2013 2013 2013 \$21,646 \$17,876 \$15,203 \$7,496 11,301 9,577 7,647 4,031 10,345 8,299 7,556 3,465 4,063 3,781 2,572 746 3,744 2,457 2,148 924 973 756 851 396 909 1,068 1,056 369 416 202 548 582


STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



Students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	95%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2012)	88%	83%	77%
Poverty rate (2012)	26%	24%	25%
Students per teacher	8.4	16.6	18.3
Average teacher salary	\$49,941	\$41,866	\$45,264
Amount from Proposition 301	\$1,898	\$4,192	\$3,784
Average years of teacher experience	15.5	11.7	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	0%	16%	19%

Financial stress assessment

manolal olloco a	00000111	OTTE		
Overall financial stre	ess level:	Low		
Measure: 2011 through 2013			Assessment	
Number of students attending district			Small school adjustment	
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets			No overspending	
Spending increase election results			No election held	
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend			4.0%, Steady	
Years of capital reserve held			1 to 3 years	
Current financial and internal control status			Compliant	
Stress level				
Low	Mod	lerate	High	