
# **Scottsdale Unified School District**

# Maricopa County

Efficiency peer groups 1 and T-5, Achievement peer group 2 Legislative district(s): 23, 24, 26 and 28

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very large, City 24,544 30

# **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**



# 5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil decreased by 8 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 60.4 to 57.9 percent. Overall, spending on plant operations increased substantially and spending on administration increased. Spending on student support and instruction support decreased, while spending on other nonclassroom areas remained stable.

### Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure	District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per pupil	\$731	\$640	\$746
Administration	Students per administrator	63	80	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$5.11	\$5.91	\$6.03
operations	Square footage per student	185	145	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$2.52	\$2.62	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$4.11	\$3.54	\$3.55
	Cost per rider	\$1,678	\$1,359	\$1,015
Very low	Low Comparab	ole Hig	gh V	ery high


# Per pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	National
	Dist	trict	average	average	average
	2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
Total	\$7,449	\$7,570	\$7,185	\$7,496	\$10,658
Classroom dollars	4,373	4,387	4,074	4,031	6,520
Nonclassroom dollars:	3,076	3,183	3,111	3,465	4,138
Administration	679	731	640	746	1,138
Plant operations	884	947	855	924	1,015
Food service	318	313	325	396	412
Transportation	371	358	346	369	452
Student support	459	442	571	582	593
Instruction support	365	392	374	448	528

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

#### ADE-reported district and school letter grades District grade: Number of Percentage of Grade schools schools А 15 50% 33% В 10 С 5 17% D 0% 0 F 0% 0 Not rated Ο 0%

# Students who met state standards (AIMS)



# Student and teacher measures

	Peer	State
District	average	average
95%	95%	94%
90%	88%	77%
13%	14%	25%
16.4	18.3	18.3
\$46,150	\$44,386	\$45,264
\$3,222	\$4,054	\$3,784
13.5	11.0	10.9
10%	16%	19%
	95% 90% 13% 16.4 \$46,150 \$3,222 13.5	District average   95% 95%   90% 88%   13% 14%   16.4 18.3   \$46,150 \$44,386   \$3,222 \$4,054   13.5 11.0

# Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low

Measure: 2011 through	Assessment			
Number of students attending district		Moderate decrease		
Spending exceeded ope	No overspending			
Spending increase election	Voter-rejected			
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend		3.4%, Decreasing		
Years of capital reserve I	More than 3 years			
Current financial and inte	Compliant			
Stress level				
Low	Moderate	High		

Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2013

Arizona Office of the Auditor General