Whiteriver Unified School District

Navajo County

Efficiency peer groups 4 and T-7, Achievement peer group 7 Legislative district(s): 7

District size. location: Students attending: Number of schools:


Medium-Large, Town 2,043

5

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area





5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil decreased by 1 percent. Spending in the classroom decreased from 58.7 to 46.7 percent. Overall, spending on student support and instruction support increased substantially, spending on administration increased, and spending on plant operations decreased. Spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

| Operational area | Measure | | District | Peer average | State average |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Cost per pupil | | \$1,458 | \$764 | \$746 |
| Administration | Students p administrat | | 62 | 67 | 67 |
| Plant Cost per square for | | quare foot | \$4.02 | \$5.34 | \$6.03 |
| operations | Square foo student | tage per | 307 | 176 | 153 |
| Food service | Cost per meal equivalent | | \$2.24 | \$2.67 | \$2.58 |
| Transportation | Cost per mile | | \$2.96 | \$3.06 | \$3.55 |
| Cost per rider | | der | \$595 | \$677 | \$1,015 |
| Very low | Low | Comparabl | e Hiç | gh V | ery high |

Per pupil spending by operational area

| | | 1 001 | Glale | rational |
|----------|---|--|---|--|
| Dis | trict | average | average | average |
| 2012 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | 2011 |
| \$11,672 | \$11,623 | \$7,187 | \$7,496 | \$10,658 |
| 5,568 | 5,427 | 3,779 | 4,031 | 6,520 |
| 6,104 | 6,196 | 3,408 | 3,465 | 4,138 |
| 1,492 | 1,458 | 764 | 746 | 1,138 |
| 1,186 | 1,234 | 921 | 924 | 1,015 |
| 490 | 554 | 364 | 396 | 412 |
| 501 | 491 | 394 | 369 | 452 |
| 1,534 | 1,774 | 561 | 582 | 593 |
| 901 | 685 | 404 | 448 | 528 |
| | 2012 \$11,672 5,568 6,104 1,492 1,186 490 501 1,534 | \$11,672 \$11,623 5,568 5,427 6,104 6,196 1,492 1,458 1,186 1,234 490 554 501 491 1,534 1,774 | District average 2012 2013 2013 \$11,672 \$11,623 \$7,187 5,568 5,427 3,779 6,104 6,196 3,408 1,492 1,458 764 1,186 1,234 921 490 554 364 501 491 394 1,534 1,774 561 | District average average 2012 2013 2013 2013 \$11,672 \$11,623 \$7,187 \$7,496 5,568 5,427 3,779 4,031 6,104 6,196 3,408 3,465 1,492 1,458 764 746 1,186 1,234 921 924 490 554 364 396 501 491 394 369 1,534 1,774 561 582 |

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER **MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT**


ADE-reported district and school letter grades





| Grade | Number of schools | Percentage of schools | | J |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----|-----|
| Α | 0 | 0% | | |
| В | 0 | 0% | | |
| С | 3 | | | 60% |
| D | 2 | | 40% | |
| F | 0 | 0% | | |
| Not rated | 0 | 0% | | |

Students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

| | | Peer | State |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Measure | District | average | average |
| Attendance rate | 94% | 92% | 94% |
| Graduation rate (2012) | 57% | 69% | 77% |
| Poverty rate (2012) | 43% | 42% | 25% |
| Students per teacher | 14.4 | 15.1 | 18.3 |
| Average teacher salary | \$48,871 | \$42,614 | \$45,264 |
| Amount from Proposition 301 | \$5,517 | \$3,663 | \$3,784 |
| Average years of teacher experience | 12.2 | 12.2 | 10.9 |
| Percentage of teachers in first 3 years | 18% | 15% | 19% |
| | | | |

Financial stress assessment

| Measure: 2011 through 2013 | Assessment |
|---|-------------------|
| Number of students attending district | Increase |
| Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets | No overspending |
| Spending increase election results | No election held |
| Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend | 4.0%, Steady |
| Years of capital reserve held | More than 3 years |
| Current financial and internal control status | Compliant |

Moderate

National