# Winslow Unified School District

Navajo County

Efficiency peer groups 4 and T-8, Achievement peer group 6

Legislative district(s): 7

District size, location: Students attending:

Medium-large, Town

2,105

5


Number of schools:

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES,

#### **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

### Spending by operational area





#### Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational				Peer	State	
area	Measure		District	average	average	
	Cost per pupil		\$842	\$798	\$780	
Administration	Students per administrative position		55	65	67	
Plant	Cost per square foot		\$6.22 \$5.52		\$6.09	
operations	Square footage per student		193	191	153	
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent			\$2.80	\$2.79	
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$2.25	\$2.54	\$3.66	
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$1,272	\$854	\$1,071	
Very low	Low	Compar	able	Hiah	Verv high	

Peer

State


#### Per pupil spending

			. 00.		Otato		
District			a١	/erage	a١	/erage	
	2014		2015		2015	:	2015
\$	4,212	\$	3,960	\$	3,941	\$	4,105
	832		842		798		780
	1,178		1,199		1,045		930
	439		414		419		417
	422		460		411		371
	398		416		597		613
_	791		751	_	479		442
\$	8,272	\$	8,042	\$	7,690	\$	7,658
\$	53	\$	88	\$	382	\$	641
_	297	Ť	308	Ť	281	*	383
	79		71		200		225
	1		8		59		150
\$	430	\$	475	\$	922	\$	1,399
\$	8,702	\$	8,517	\$	8,612	\$	9,057
	\$ \$	\$ 4,212 832 1,178 439 422 398 791 \$ 8,272 \$ 53 297 79 1 \$ 430	2014 \$ 4,212 \$ 832 1,178 439 422 398 791 \$ 8,272 \$ 53 \$ 297 79 1 \$ 430 \$ \$	2014       2015         \$ 4,212       \$ 3,960         832       842         1,178       1,199         439       414         422       460         398       416         791       751         \$ 8,272       \$ 8,042         \$ 53       \$ 88         297       308         79       71         1       8         \$ 430       \$ 475	2014       2015         \$ 4,212       \$ 3,960       \$ 842         1,178       1,199       414         422       460       398       416         791       751       58,042       \$         \$ 53       \$ 88       \$ 297       308         79       71       8       18         430       \$ 475       \$ 475	2014         2015         2015           \$ 4,212         \$ 3,960         \$ 3,941           832         842         798           1,178         1,199         1,045           439         414         419           422         460         411           398         416         597           791         751         479           \$ 8,272         \$ 8,042         \$ 7,690           \$ 53         \$ 88         \$ 382           297         308         281           79         71         200           1         8         59           \$ 430         \$ 475         \$ 922	2014     2015     2015       \$ 4,212     \$ 3,960     \$ 3,941     \$ 842       1,178     1,199     1,045       439     414     419       422     460     411       398     416     597       791     751     479       \$ 8,272     \$ 8,042     \$ 7,690     \$       \$ 53     \$ 88     \$ 382     \$       297     308     281     79     71     200       1     8     59     \$       \$ 430     \$ 475     \$ 922     \$

### FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUE Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	95%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2014)	92%	80%	76%
Poverty rate (2014)	32%	31%	23%
Students per teacher	17.8	15.8	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$40,587	\$42,614	\$46,008
Average years of teacher experience	11.6	12.4	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	29%	20%	20%

#### Students who met state standards



#### Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level:

Measure: 2013 through	Assessment			
Change in number of dis	trict students	Steady		
Spending exceeded ope	rating/capital budgets	No overspending		
Spending increase election results		Voter-approved		
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend		3.8%, Increasing		
Years of capital reserve h	neld	More than 3 years		
Current financial and internal control status		Compliant		
Low	Moderate	High		

#### Per nunil revenues

Federal impact aid

Voter-approved levy increases

'er pupii revenues					
or paper revenues			Peer	State	
	District		average	average	
Revenues by source	2014	2015	2015	2015	
Federal	\$ 2,623	\$ 2,644	\$ 2,889	\$ 1,299	
State	4,163	4,272	3,860	3,517	
Local	1,727	1,796	2,930	4,248	
Total revenues per pupil	\$ 8,513	\$ 8,712	\$ 9,679	\$ 9,064	
Select revenues from common sources					
Equalization formula funding	\$ 5,217	\$ 4,911	\$ 5,185	\$ 5,303	
Grants	1,458	1,309	1,573	1,167	
Donations and tax credits	4	9	48	78	
			Number of peers		
Select revenues from less common sources receiving					
Desegregation	\$ 0	\$ 0	1 of 18		
Small school adjustment	0	0	0 о	f 18	

1,248

683

7 of 18

15 of 18

1,422

690

# Winslow USD—page 2

#### Classroom dollar percentage


2002 2005 2009 Year: 2001 2003 2004 2006 2007 2008 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Percentage: 55.1 57.2 59.0 57.3 56.8 55.5 54.3 53.8 54.5 52.9 52.5 52.7 51.8 50.9 49.2

# **OPERATIONAL TRENDS**Fiscal years as indicated


#### 5-year spending trend (2010 through 2015)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 5.5 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom decreased from 52.9 to 49.2 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, administration and transportation increased and plant operations, food service, and student support increased slightly overall, while instruction support decreased slightly.


## Administrative cost per student




# Total operational and instructional spending per student (inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars)




# Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student




#### Changes in operational spending percentages



#### Food service cost per meal



#### Students attending



### Transportation costs per mile and per rider

