Yuma Elementary School District

Yuma County


Efficiency peer groups 7 and T-5, Achievement peer group 13 Legislative district(s): 4 and 13

District size, location: Large, City Students attending: 8,448
Number of schools: 17

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational				Peer	State		
area	Measure		District		average	average	
	Cost per pupil	\$8	352	\$709	\$780		
Administration	Students per administrative		65	78	67		
Plant	Cost per squa	re foot	\$6	.85	\$5.82	\$6.09	
operations	Square footage student	122		128	153		
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$2.63		\$2.62	\$2.79		
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$3.45		\$3.48	\$3.66	
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$1,311		\$1,392	\$1,071	
Very low	Low	Compar	able		High	Verv high	

Per pupil spending


rer pupir speriding						Peer	;	State	
	District		ct	average		average			
Spending by area		2014		2015		2015		2015	
Instruction	\$	3,562	\$	3,504	\$	3,943	\$	4,105	
Administration		815		852		709		780	
Plant operations		855		838		738		930	
Food service		566		582		539		417	
Transportation		445		469		301		371	
Student support		451		461		564		613	
Instruction support		404		392	_	470		442	
Total operational	\$	7,098	\$	7,098	\$	7,264	\$	7,658	
Land and buildings	\$	43	\$	547	\$	643	\$	641	
Equipment		352		1,066		432		383	
Interest		21		31		179		225	
Other		37		58	_	188		150	
Total nonoperational	\$	453	\$	1,702	\$	1,442	\$	1,399	
Total per pupil spending	\$	7,551	\$	8,800	\$	8,706	\$	9,057	

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUE

Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	95%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2014)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2014)	22%	25%	23%
Students per teacher	19.4	19.6	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$37,285	\$41,292	\$46,008
Average years of teacher experience	10.9	7.7	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	16%	34%	20%

Students who met state standards



Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low

Measure: 2013 through	Assessment			
Change in number of dis	Steady			
Spending exceeded ope	No overspending			
Spending increase electi	Voter-approved			
Operating reserve percen	3.7%, Decreasing			
Years of capital reserve h	More than 3 years			
Current financial and inte	Compliant			
Low	Moderate	High		

Per pupil revenues

Federal impact aid

Voter-approved levy increases

ei pupii ieveilues									
						Peer		State	
	District					average		average	
Revenues by source		2014 2015			2015		2015		
Federal	\$	1,507	\$	1,591	\$	1,468	\$	1,299	
State		3,840		3,909		3,973		3,517	
Local		2,425	_	2,165	_	3,380	_	4,248	
Total revenues per pupil	\$	7,772	\$	7,665	\$	8,821	\$	9,064	
Select revenues from comm	on	source	s						
Equalization formula funding	\$	5,324	\$	5,095	\$	4,915	\$	5,303	
Grants		1,560		1,623		1,502		1,167	
Donations and tax credits		35		25		42		78	
Number of peers								peers	
Select revenues from less common sources receiving					ng				
Desegregation	\$	0	\$	0		4 0	f 10)	
Small school adjustment		0 0			0 of 10				

34

361

2 of 10

10 of 10

53

Yuma ESD—page 2

Classroom dollar percentage


2002 2003 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Year: 2001 2004 2012 2013 2014 2015 Percentage: 56.4 58.9 56.2 56.0 54.5 51.7 51.9 52.7 54.0 52.2 50.1 50.5 50.5 50.2 49.4

OPERATIONAL TRENDSFiscal years as indicated


5-year spending trend (2010 through 2015)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, increased by 1 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom decreased overall from 52.2 to 49.4 percent. Overall, as a percentage of total operational spending, administration and food service increased, while some other nonclassroom areas varied year to year.


Administrative cost per student




Total operational and instructional spending per student (inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars)




Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student




Changes in operational spending percentages



Food service cost per meal



Students attending



Transportation costs per mile and per rider

